UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,677	10/15/2008	Nalinkumar L. Patel	29610/CDT499	4533
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			EXAMINER	
			BOHATY, ANDREW K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1786	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/583,677	PATEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew K. Bohaty	1786		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiller to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ∠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12,17 and 18 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2006/11/28; 2006/12/11.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/583,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 1786

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, claims 13-16, in the reply filed on January 6, 2011 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-12, 17, and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on January 6, 2011.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,677

Page 3

Art Unit: 1786

- 5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo et al. (US 6,309,763) (hereafter "Woo") in view of Zheng et al. (US 2003/0082402) (hereafter "Zheng") and Lecloux et al. (US 2003/0096138) (hereafter "Lecloux").
- 6. Regarding claims 13-16, Woo teaches an organic light emitting diode comprising an anode, a hole transporting layer, an electroluminescent layer, and a cathode (column 14 lines 65-67 and column 15 lines 1-5). Woo teaches the hole transporting layer is

composed of a polymer with the following structure,

(PFA Table 2). PFA reads on applicant's formula (I), where Ar¹ and Ar² are unsubstituted phenyl groups, Ar³ is a substituted phenyl group, and n is 1. Furthermore, PFA comprises another repeating unit and the repeating unit is substituted fluorene. Woo teaches that a hole injecting layer can be found between the anode and the hole transporting layer and the layer can be composed of PEDT, a conductive organic material (column 12 lines 15-25). Woo teaches the electroluminescent layer is also composed of a polymer (column 14 lines 65-67 and column 15 lines 1-5).

- 7. Woo does not teach where the polymer for the electroluminescent layer is a host material for a phosphorescent dopant.
- 8. Zheng teaches light emitting diodes comprising polymer electroluminescent layers (paragraph [0048]). Zheng teaches the electroluminescent layer comprises a polymer host and a light emitting material and teaches the light emitting material can be a phosphorescent material (paragraphs [0048] and [0049]). Zheng teaches the phosphorescent material can be a metal complex (paragraph [0049]). Zheng teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/583,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 1786

that changing the light emitting material one can tune the emission wavelength of the device (paragraph [0049]).

- 9. Lecloux teaches fluorene polymers can be used as host materials for phosphorescent dopants in the light emitting layer of organic light emitting devices (paragraphs [0122] and [0123]).
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the light emitting device of Woo so the electroluminescent layer was doped with a phosphorescent dopant, such as a metal complex. The motivation would have been to tune the emission wavelength of the device.

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew K. Bohaty whose telephone number is (571)270-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST and every other Friday from 7:30 am to 4 pm EST.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on (571)272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,677 Page 5

Art Unit: 1786

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. K. B./ Andrew K. Bohaty Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786 /D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786